

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	:	
	:	
v.	:	Criminal No. 10-420
	:	
WHITE ET AL.,	:	
	:	
	:	
	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this ____ day of July, 2011 upon consideration of Defendant Marcus White's Motion to Compel Additional Discovery (Doc. 22) and the Government's Response in Opposition thereto (Doc. 41), **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED and DECREED** that the Motion is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED that

1. Defendant Marcus White's Motion to Suppress Defendant's Initial Statement (Doc. 23);
2. Defendant Marcus White's Motion to Suppress Defendant's Statement (Doc. 24);
3. Defendant Marcus White's Motion to Suppress Physical Evidence (Doc. 25);
4. Defendant Marcus White's Motion to Suppress (Doc. 39);
5. Defendant Marcus White's Motion to Suppress (Doc. 40); and
6. Defendant Marcus White's Supplemental Motion to Suppress Evidence (Doc. 41)

are **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED that

7. Defendant Antwanne White's Motion to Suppress Statements (Doc. 81) is
DENIED AS MOOT.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Petrese B. Tucker

Hon. Petrese B. Tucker, U.S.D.J.